PARTNERSHIP PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

The ministry has developed project evaluation criteria for RTOs to use with partners to support the partnership development process. The RTO may use the evaluation criteria as a tool to ensure key project details are addressed prior to ministry review.

Section 1: Project Objective	Yes	No
Does this project align with partnership fund goals and eligibility as outlined in the ministry guidelines?		
Does this project fit with the RTO business plan and link to pillar(s)? <i>i.e. marketing, product development, workforce development and investment attraction</i>		
 Is there a solid rationale/ need for this project in the region? increase visitor spending, increase visitation, increase investment or improve customer experiences build the capacity of the tourism industry local or regional priority identified through a planning process (e.g. Destination Development, Premier-ranked Tourist Destinations Framework, regional strategic plan, public consultations) economic benefit 		
Is there strong market demand or evidence of future demand for this project?		
Does this project target core Ontario, U.S. markets or international markets identified by the Ontario Tourism Marketing Partnership Corporation in its segmentation research and marketing plans?		
Section 2: Project Description	Yes	No
Are there defined project stages and milestones?		
Are there defined project stages and milestones? Are there defined key activities?		
Are there defined key activities?		
Are there defined key activities? Are there defined RTO and partner roles based on the key activities?		
Are there defined key activities? Are there defined RTO and partner roles based on the key activities? Are there S.M.A.R.T performance measures?		
Are there defined key activities? Are there defined RTO and partner roles based on the key activities? Are there S.M.A.R.T performance measures? Is the RTO the project manager/lead?		
Are there defined key activities? Are there defined RTO and partner roles based on the key activities? Are there S.M.A.R.T performance measures? Is the RTO the project manager/lead? Is there a plan for acknowledgements/recognition?		
Are there defined key activities? Are there defined RTO and partner roles based on the key activities? Are there S.M.A.R.T performance measures? Is the RTO the project manager/lead? Is there a plan for acknowledgements/recognition? Section 3: Partner Organization Capacity		
Are there defined key activities? Are there defined RTO and partner roles based on the key activities? Are there S.M.A.R.T performance measures? Is the RTO the project manager/lead? Is there a plan for acknowledgements/recognition? Section 3: Partner Organization Capacity Does the partner have relevant experience to participate in/execute this project?		
Are there defined key activities? Are there defined RTO and partner roles based on the key activities? Are there S.M.A.R.T performance measures? Is the RTO the project manager/lead? Is there a plan for acknowledgements/recognition? Section 3: Partner Organization Capacity Does the partner have relevant experience to participate in/execute this project? Is the partner organization in a stable financial position?	Image: Constraint of the second se	Image: Control of the second secon

Does the partner organization have experience developing/tracking/reporting on performance measures?		
Section 4: Financial Information	Yes	No
Are project costs eligible as outlined in the ministry guidelines?		
Are goods and/or services being procured to complete this project (greater than \$5,000)? Where the purchase price exceeds \$5,000, the RTO will, at a minimum, obtain at least three written quotes		
Is there a total project budget?		
Has the cost sharing ratio been determined? i.e. 50/50		
Is the total project budget allocated clearly for RTO and partner funds?		
Is the partners funding being secured from other parties and have formal agreements been made?		
Has the funding arrangement been confirmed?		
Are rebates and credits being applied for?		
Has distribution of rebates and credits upon receipt been discussed?		
Section 5: Governance	Yes	No
Have risks been assessed and is there a plan for managing them?		
 Will the agreement be documented and formalized in a Memorandum of Understanding or Partnership Agreement? List of potential items for inclusion: start/end date timelines and milestones key activities performance measures and tracking project roles and responsibilities information needs/ sharing decision making protocols delegation of spending authority legal responsibilities procurement policies billing/payment process rebates and credits project review reporting requirements 		